



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,305	12/06/2001	Charles E. Prussak	ST-UCSD3140	1335
7590 STACY L. TAYLOR DLA PIPER US LLP Suite 1100 4365 Executive Drive San Diego, CA 92121-2133				
EXAMINER				
GAMBEL, PHILLIP				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1644				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/006,305

Applicant(s)

PRUSSAK ET AL.

Examiner

Phillip Gambel

Art Unit

1644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phillip Gambel.(3) Karin Gerstin.(2) Stacy Taylor.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record and newly submitted amendment, filed 10/14/2008.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant submitted that the claims are distinguishable over prior art, including Cantwell Abstract/Poster Session, in that claims provide for a chimeric molecule wherein element (a) lacks a metalloproteinase cleavage site, providing for more stable proteins produced by the claimed chimeric nucleic acid molecules.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Phillip Gambel/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644